Project			
Grantee			
Sponsor			
Grant Number			

	Proje	ct Type Priorities			
Goal	Performance Standard	Project Type	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
		Coordinated Assessment	10		
Quickly end homelessness	Project Type	Permanent Supportive Housing	10		
Quickly end nomelessness	Project Type	Rapid Re-Housing	10		
		HMIS	10		
End Chronic Homelessness	Dedication to Chronically Homeless	New Project 100% Dedicated Chronically	10		

Vulnerable Population Priorities					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
	Reds dedicated to chronically homeless households	# Chronically Homeless dedicated beds ÷ total project beds	10		
	Families with children	# of family dedicated beds ÷ total project beds	5		
Project serves hardest to serve populations	II ow-harrier Admission Criteria (Housing First Oriented)	at least 80% coordinated assessment referrals accepted, and low-barrier admission criteria	5		
	Il aw-harriar Larmination (ritaria (Halising First ()riantad)	Low-Barrier Termination Criteria (Housing First); no terminations during operating period	5		

	Project P	erformance Review			
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Reduce length of hamelessness and /or promotes	<10% of exiting households return to homelessness	# that return to homelessness ÷ # exiting	10		
stability in permanent housing (PH)	<10% of exiting households return to homelessness 85% of households in PH remain housed (12 mos. PSH; 6 mos. RRH) or exit to PH	# of leavers to PH and of stayers ÷ total # served	10		
	20% PSH; 40% RRH households have earned income	# with earned income ÷ total # served	10		
Increase jobs, income and self-sufficiency*	85% PSH, 56% RRH households get non-cash benefits	# with non-cash benefits ÷ total # served	10		
	85% of households maintain/increase overall income	# who mainain/increase income ÷ total # served	10		
Target service level met	Program utilization rates at 90% or higher	# of persons served ÷ proposed number of persons from most recent application & HMIS/other bed utilization rate	10		

	Program	Compliance Review			
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
	Program draws down HUD funds at least quarterly	4 drawdowns in 12 month period from eLOCCS	5		
	Less than 5% of program funds returned on annual basis	Funds returned divided by funds awarded from HUD closeout certification	5		
	APR submitted in a timely fashion (within 3 months of operating year end date)	APR submission date in relation to program operating year end date	5		
Effective Use of Federal Funds	Program uses funds for eligible population – homeless &	number of participants # of households with disabling condition divided			
ľ	disabled by HUD definition	# of households with disabling condition divided by total number of households	5		
	Program serves appropriate target population	subpopulation mix of clients served as compared to mix identified in application	5		
	Project Match requirement met	Letter of commitment for match	5		
	Project provides adequate supportive services	Documentation of service level; service reports	10		
Program meets HUD regulations	Program record keeping meets HUD requirements: Client files contain homeless eligibility, annual income, disability documentation, services provided, unit inspection, rent reasonableness, rent calculation. Program records retained for at least 5 years after client discharge or 15 years after expenditure of funds for construction/acquisition. Housing units inspected prior to lease up and annually	Client File Review, Program Policies & Procedures	20		

HMIS Participation Review					
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
	HMIS data quality measure – less than 5% of Universal Data Elements are missing	HMIS Review	10		
	Consistent and timely use of Interim Assessments	% of Assessment completed timely	5		

	Colla	boration Review			
Goal	Performance Standard	Evaluation Method	Max Points	Data	Points Earned
Serves only Middlesex County residents	Serves only Middlesex County residents	Residence prior location	5		
Active participant in MCHC3		CoC Meeting attendance divided by total number of meetings held	5		

	Summary Performance		
	Maximum Points	Points Earned	% of Points Earned
Project Type Priorities	20		
Vulnerable Population Priorities	25		
Project Performance Review	60		
Program Compliance Review	65		
HMIS Participation Review	15		
Collaboration Review	10		
Attends Mandatory Technical Assistance Session	5		
Total Possible Score for Housing Projects	200		
Total Possible Score for Non-Housing Projects	100		
Total Possible Score for New Projects	65		

^{*}Projects serving 85% chronically homeless or SSI/SSD clients exempt from earned income measure